| | Application No. | A H 4/-> | | |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | | | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/539,196 Examiner | EVOY, DAVID R | | |
| | Examine: | Art Unit | | |
| | MICHAEL R. VAUGHAN | 2431 | | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | | | |
| (1) MICHAEL R. VAUGHAN. | (3) | | | |
| (2) Patrick Wamsley. | (4) | | | |
| Date of Interview: 20 October 2009 | Time: 3:00pm | | | |
| Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applic | cant's representative) | | | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | | |
| Part I. | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/e | | | | |
| Claims discussed: 32 | | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/e | | | | |
| Part II. | | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI Examiner proposed an amendment to correct a minor anteceds amendment. | | | agreed to the | |
| Part III. | | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. | he examiner will provide a w | ritten summary of | the substance | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa | | | tne interview | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

/M. R. V./ Examiner, Art Unit 2431

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)